

the law of war principle of proportionality

The Law of War Principle of Proportionality: Balancing Military Necessity and Humanity

the law of war principle of proportionality is a fundamental concept in international humanitarian law that aims to regulate the conduct of armed conflict. It serves as a vital safeguard designed to minimize unnecessary suffering and destruction during warfare by ensuring that any military action is balanced against the potential harm it may cause to civilians and civilian objects. This principle is not just a legal technicality; it reflects a broader commitment to humanity even amid the chaos of war.

Understanding the law of war principle of proportionality is essential for anyone interested in international law, military ethics, or human rights. It plays a critical role in shaping military decisions, guiding commanders on the battlefield, and providing a framework for accountability when violations occur. Let's explore this principle in depth, its application, challenges, and significance in modern armed conflicts.

What is the Law of War Principle of Proportionality?

At its core, the law of war principle of proportionality requires that the anticipated military advantage from an attack must not be outweighed by excessive incidental harm to civilians or civilian infrastructure. In other words, even if a target is legitimate under the laws of armed conflict, the attack is prohibited if it would cause disproportionate collateral damage.

This principle is embedded in various international treaties, including the Geneva Conventions and their Additional Protocols, and is considered a customary rule of international law. It serves as a check against wanton violence and indiscriminate attacks, thereby protecting non-combatants and maintaining some degree of humanity during warfare.

Key Elements of Proportionality

To apply the principle, military planners and commanders must weigh two major factors:

- **Military Advantage:** The concrete and direct advantage expected from the attack, such as neutralizing an enemy position or disrupting supply lines.
- **Collateral Damage:** The incidental loss of civilian life, injury to civilians, or damage to civilian property that is not the primary target.

The proportionality test involves a judgment call: Is the expected military gain worth the potential harm? This evaluation is inherently subjective, requiring not just military expertise but also a moral consideration.

The Importance of Proportionality in International Humanitarian Law

Why does the law of war principle of proportionality matter so much? The answer lies in the fundamental goals of international humanitarian law: to limit the effects of armed conflict for humanitarian reasons and to protect those who are not participating in the hostilities.

Protecting Civilians and Civilian Objects

One of the most critical aspects of proportionality is its role in civilian protection. War inevitably causes harm, but the principle aims to prevent unnecessary or excessive suffering. It requires belligerents to constantly evaluate their actions to avoid civilian casualties and destruction of essential infrastructure like hospitals, schools, and water supplies.

Balancing Military Necessity and Humanity

Proportionality embodies the delicate balance between military necessity and humanitarian concerns. While military necessity permits measures that are indispensable to achieve a legitimate military objective, humanity demands that these measures are not excessive or unjustifiable. This balance is what differentiates lawful warfare from war crimes.

Challenges in Applying the Principle of Proportionality

Despite its clear ethical and legal foundations, applying the law of war principle of proportionality in real-world conflicts can be incredibly challenging. The fog of war, rapid decision-making, and the complexities of modern warfare all complicate the proportionality assessment.

Difficulty in Predicting Collateral Damage

One of the biggest hurdles is accurately predicting the collateral damage that an attack might cause. Intelligence may be incomplete, civilians may be hiding in or near legitimate military targets, and weapons may not be precise. These uncertainties make proportionality assessments difficult and sometimes controversial.

Subjectivity and Differing Interpretations

Proportionality inherently involves subjective judgments. Different commanders or states may interpret the balance between military advantage and collateral damage differently, leading to disputes and accusations of violations. This ambiguity can make enforcement and prosecution under international law complex.

Technological Developments and Proportionality

Advances in military technology, such as precision-guided munitions and drones, have changed how proportionality is evaluated. While these technologies can reduce collateral damage, they also raise new questions about the thresholds of acceptable harm and the responsibilities of operators.

Examples of the Principle in Practice

The law of war principle of proportionality has been invoked in numerous conflicts to evaluate the legality of military operations. For instance, during the NATO intervention in Kosovo or more recent conflicts in the Middle East, allegations of disproportionate attacks have sparked international debate and investigations.

Legal Accountability and War Crimes

Violations of proportionality can constitute war crimes under international law. The International Criminal Court (ICC) and other tribunals have prosecuted individuals for attacks deemed disproportionate, emphasizing the principle's role in accountability.

Military Training and Rules of Engagement

To comply with the principle, many armed forces incorporate proportionality assessments into their rules of engagement and operational planning. Training commanders and soldiers to recognize and respect proportionality helps reduce unlawful harm and strengthens the legitimacy of military operations.

Tips for Understanding and Applying Proportionality in Conflict Situations

For students, legal professionals, or military personnel seeking to grasp the law of war principle of proportionality, several practical considerations can help:

- **Focus on Concrete Military Advantage:** Avoid vague or speculative benefits; the advantage must be tangible and direct.
- **Consider All Potential Civilian Harm:** Including deaths, injuries, and damage to essential services.
- **Use Precise Intelligence:** Reliable information reduces uncertainty in proportionality assessments.
- **Document Decision-Making:** Keeping records of proportionality evaluations supports accountability and legal compliance.
- **Stay Updated on Legal Developments:** International law evolves, so awareness of new precedents and treaties is crucial.

The Future of Proportionality in Armed Conflict

As warfare evolves with new technologies and unconventional tactics, the law of war principle of proportionality remains a cornerstone of lawful conduct. Its continued relevance depends on the international community's commitment to uphold humanitarian standards and adapt legal frameworks to emerging challenges.

Efforts to enhance transparency, improve targeting technologies, and strengthen legal enforcement mechanisms will shape how proportionality is applied in future conflicts. Ultimately, this principle reflects a shared desire to protect human dignity—even in the darkest hours of war.

The law of war principle of proportionality thus stands not only as a legal requirement but as a moral compass, guiding the conduct of hostilities toward a more humane and just standard. Understanding its nuances and challenges helps us appreciate the complexity of modern warfare and the ongoing quest to safeguard human life amidst conflict.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the principle of proportionality in the law of war?

The principle of proportionality in the law of war prohibits attacks that may cause incidental civilian harm which would be excessive in relation to the concrete and direct military advantage anticipated.

Why is the principle of proportionality important in armed conflict?

It is important because it aims to limit unnecessary suffering and protect civilians by ensuring that military actions are balanced and not excessive relative to the military gain.

How does international humanitarian law define proportionality?

International humanitarian law defines proportionality as a rule that prohibits attacks expected to cause incidental loss of civilian life or damage that would be excessive compared to the anticipated concrete and direct military advantage.

Can the principle of proportionality be measured objectively?

While the principle requires a judgment call, it is based on an objective assessment of the expected military advantage versus potential civilian harm, considering the circumstances known at the time of attack.

What happens if a military attack violates the principle of proportionality?

Violations may constitute war crimes, leading to legal accountability for commanders and soldiers under international law, including prosecution by international tribunals.

Does the principle of proportionality apply to all parties in a conflict?

Yes, the principle applies to all parties engaged in armed conflict, including state and non-state actors, under international humanitarian law.

How does the principle of proportionality relate to collateral damage?

The principle requires that incidental harm to civilians and civilian objects (collateral damage) must not be excessive compared to the anticipated military advantage, aiming to minimize such damage.

Is the principle of proportionality applicable in both international and non-international armed conflicts?

Yes, the principle of proportionality applies in both international and non-international armed conflicts as a fundamental rule of international humanitarian law.

What role do military commanders have regarding proportionality?

Military commanders must assess and ensure that any attack complies with proportionality, balancing military necessity against potential civilian harm before ordering or carrying out attacks.

How is the principle of proportionality enforced internationally?

Enforcement occurs through international courts and tribunals, such as the International Criminal Court, as well as through national legal systems prosecuting violations of international humanitarian law.

Additional Resources

The Law of War Principle of Proportionality: Balancing Military Necessity and Humanity

the law of war principle of proportionality stands as a cornerstone in the framework of international humanitarian law, carefully navigating the complex intersection between military necessity and humanitarian considerations. As a fundamental tenet governing the conduct of hostilities, this principle seeks to prevent excessive harm to civilians and civilian infrastructure during armed conflict, ensuring that any military advantage gained is not outweighed by disproportionate collateral damage. Its application remains a subject of rigorous legal scrutiny, ethical debate, and practical challenges on contemporary battlefields.

Understanding the Law of War Principle of Proportionality

At its core, the law of war principle of proportionality mandates that the anticipated incidental loss of civilian life, injury to civilians, or damage to civilian objects must not be excessive in relation to the concrete and direct military advantage expected from an attack. This principle is enshrined in several foundational international instruments, including the Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions (1977), specifically Article 51(5)(b), and customary international humanitarian law.

Proportionality operates as a legal and moral filter, compelling commanders and decision-makers to weigh the military benefits of an operation against the likely humanitarian costs. Unlike the principle of distinction—which requires parties to distinguish between combatants and civilians—proportionality allows for attacks on legitimate military targets but with strict limits on collateral damage.

Legal Foundations and Interpretative Challenges

The interpretation of proportionality is inherently complex due to its reliance on subjective assessments and predictions. Military commanders must evaluate the expected military advantage in concrete terms—such as the destruction of an enemy weapons depot or elimination of a strategic position—against the anticipated incidental harm to civilian life and property. This assessment must be done in good faith and based on the information reasonably available at the time.

One significant challenge lies in defining what constitutes "excessive" collateral damage. International jurisprudence, including rulings by the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY), has underscored that proportionality is not a mathematical equation but a contextual judgment. The balancing test requires a careful and reasonable analysis rather than an absolute prohibition on civilian harm.

Practical Applications and Controversies

In practice, the law of war principle of proportionality has been tested across numerous conflicts, from conventional wars to asymmetric engagements involving non-state actors. Its application often hinges on real-time intelligence, technological capabilities, and ethical considerations embedded in military doctrines.

Technological Advances and Proportionality

Recent advancements in precision-guided munitions and surveillance technologies have enhanced the ability of armed forces to minimize unintended civilian casualties. These tools theoretically support better compliance with proportionality by enabling more accurate targeting and real-time battle damage assessments.

However, even with such technologies, proportionality assessments remain imperfect. Factors such as the proximity of civilians to military targets, the accuracy of intelligence, and the fog of war complicate decision-making. Furthermore, adversaries' tactics, such as embedding military assets within civilian populations, exacerbate the difficulty of proportionate responses.

Case Studies: Lessons from Modern Conflicts

Several recent conflicts illustrate the contentious nature of proportionality assessments:

- **Gaza Conflicts:** Israeli military operations have frequently been scrutinized for alleged disproportionate use of force. Critics argue that civilian casualties and infrastructure damage outweigh the military gains, while Israel asserts that it takes extensive measures to comply with proportionality.

- **NATO Airstrikes in Kosovo (1999):** NATO's campaign faced criticism for civilian casualties, raising debates about whether the strikes maintained proportionality amidst efforts to halt ethnic cleansing.
- **US Drone Strikes:** The use of unmanned aerial vehicles in counterterrorism operations has sparked discussions regarding proportionality, especially concerning civilian casualties in remote areas with limited intelligence.

These examples highlight the delicate balance between achieving military objectives and adhering to humanitarian law, as well as the varied interpretations and political implications surrounding proportionality.

Comparing Proportionality with Related Legal Principles

To fully grasp the law of war principle of proportionality, it is instructive to consider its relationship with other key legal doctrines:

Distinction

While proportionality concerns the scale and impact of an attack, the principle of distinction mandates clear differentiation between combatants and civilians. Distinction prohibits targeting civilians directly, whereas proportionality regulates the permissible collateral damage during attacks on legitimate military targets.

Necessity

Military necessity justifies the use of force required to achieve a legitimate military objective. Proportionality, however, places limits on necessity by forbidding attacks where collateral damage would be excessive. Thus, proportionality tempers necessity to protect civilian lives and property.

Precaution

Precautionary measures require parties to take all feasible steps to minimize civilian harm, including verifying targets and choosing means of attack that reduce collateral damage. Precaution supports proportionality by ensuring that the assessment of proportionality is grounded in diligent planning and execution.

Pros and Cons of the Proportionality Principle in Armed Conflict

The law of war principle of proportionality offers several advantages but also faces criticisms:

- **Pros:**

- Provides a legal framework to limit unnecessary suffering and destruction.
- Encourages restraint and accountability among military commanders.
- Supports the legitimacy and ethical conduct of armed forces.
- Facilitates post-conflict justice by defining thresholds for war crimes.

- **Cons:**

- Subjectivity in assessing “excessiveness” leads to inconsistent application.
- Operational challenges in fast-moving conflicts complicate proportionality judgments.
- Non-state actors’ tactics undermine clear proportionality assessments.
- Political interests and propaganda may distort interpretations of proportionality compliance.

Despite its imperfections, proportionality remains indispensable in regulating armed conflict and mitigating humanitarian crises.

Future Outlook: Enhancing Compliance and Interpretation

As warfare evolves, so too must the application of the law of war principle of proportionality. Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, autonomous weapons systems, and enhanced surveillance present both opportunities and risks. On one hand, these tools can improve precision and reduce unintended harm; on the other, they raise complex legal and ethical questions about accountability and proportionality assessments.

Moreover, ongoing efforts to clarify proportionality standards through international jurisprudence, military manuals, and expert dialogues are critical. Education and training for armed forces on proportionality's nuances can foster better compliance. Additionally, transparent investigations into alleged violations can strengthen the rule of law and public trust.

Ultimately, the principle of proportionality serves not only as a legal norm but as a moral compass guiding the conduct of war. Its continued relevance depends on rigorous interpretation, practical application, and unwavering commitment to balancing military objectives with the protection of human dignity.

The Law Of War Principle Of Proportionality

the law of war principle of proportionality: *The United States Department of Defense Law of War Manual* Michael A. Newton, 2019-01-24 The United States Department of Defense Law of War Manual: Commentary and Critique provides an irreplaceable resource for any politician, international expert, or military practitioner who wishes to understand the approach taken by the American military in the complex range of modern conflicts. Readers will understand the strengths and weaknesses of US legal and policy pronouncements and the reasons behind the modern American way of war, whether US forces deploy alone or in coalitions. This book provides unprecedented and precise analysis of the US approach to the most pressing problems in modern wars, including controversies surrounding use of human shields, fighting in urban areas, the use of cyberwar and modern weaponry, expanding understanding of human rights, and the rise of ISIS. This group of authors, including academics and military practitioners, provides a wealth of expertise that demystifies overlapping threads of law and policy amidst the world's seemingly intractable conflicts.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *The Army Lawyer* , 1998

the law of war principle of proportionality: *The Impact of Emerging Technologies on the Law of Armed Conflict* MAJ Ronald T.P. Alcala, Eric Talbot Jensen, 2019-08-30 Emerging technologies have always played an important role in armed conflict. From the crossbow to cyber capabilities, technology that could be weaponized to create an advantage over an adversary has inevitably found its way into military arsenals for use in armed conflict. The weaponization of emerging technologies, however, raises challenging legal issues with respect to the law of armed conflict. As States continue to develop and exploit new technologies, how will the law of armed conflict address the use of these technologies on the battlefield? Is existing law sufficient to regulate new technologies, such as cyber capabilities, autonomous weapons systems, and artificial intelligence? Have emerging technologies fundamentally altered the way we should understand concepts such as law-of-war precautions and the principle of distinction? How can we ensure compliance and accountability in light of technological advancement? This volume of the Lieber Studies explores these critical questions while highlighting the legal challenges--and opportunities--presented by the use of emerging technologies on the battlefield.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *The Air Force Law Review* , 2007

the law of war principle of proportionality: **The Use of Force** Robert J. Art, Kelly M. Greenhill, 2015-07-09 The Use of Force, long considered a classic in its own right, brings together enduring, influential works on the role of military power in foreign policy and international politics. Now in its eighth edition, the reader has been significantly revised; with twenty innovative and up-to-date selections, this edition is 60 percent new. Meticulously chosen and edited by leading scholars Robert J. Art and Kelly M. Greenhill, the selections are grouped under three headings:

theories, case studies, and contemporary issues. The first section includes essays that cover the security dilemma, terrorism, the sources of military doctrine, the nuclear revolution, and the fungibility of force. A new subsection of Part I also deals with ethical issues in the use of force. The second section includes case studies in the use of force that span the period from World War I through the war in Afghanistan. The final section considers issues concerning the projection of US military power; the rising power of China; the spread of biological and nuclear weapons and cyberwarfare; intervention in internal conflicts and insurgencies; and possible future developments in terrorism, nuclear abolition, and robotic warfare. Continuing the tradition of previous editions, this fully updated reader collects the best analysis by influential thinkers on the use of force in international affairs. Contributions by: Bruce J. Allyn, Kenneth Anderson, Robert J. Art, Mark S. Bell, Richard K. Betts, Laurie R. Blank, James G. Blight, Stephen G. Brooks, Seyom Brown, Daniel Byman, Audrey Kurth Cronin, Patrick M. Cronin, Alexander B. Downes, Karl W. Eikenberry, John Lewis Gaddis, Erik Gartke, Alexander L. George, Avery Goldstein, Kelly M. Greenhill, G. John Ikenberry, Robert Jervis, Gregory Koblentz, Peter R. Mansoor, John J. Mearsheimer, Nicholas L. Miller, Louis C. Morton, Barry R. Posen, Louise Richardson, George B. Samson, Thomas C. Schelling, Jack L. Snyder, Paul Staniland, Barbara F. Walter, Kenneth N. Waltz, Matthew Waxman, David A. Welch, Jon Western, and William C. Wohlforth.

the law of war principle of proportionality: Aspects of Leadership Carroll J. Connelley, Paolo Tripodi, 2012 The essays in this book are intended to inform leaders, and the general public, about the challenges of ethical decision making, the application of the law of war, and the important role of spirituality. *Aspects of Leadership* will educate readers and generate important questions that leaders should ask themselves, encouraging them to reflect upon their pivotal roles in these three areas --Back cover.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *The Law of Armed Conflict* Gary D. Solis, 2016-04-18 This book introduces students to the essential questions of the law of armed conflict and international humanitarian law.

the law of war principle of proportionality: **International Law and Institutions** Aaron Schwabach, Arthur John Cockfield, 2009-08-30 International Law and Institutions is a component of Encyclopedia of Institutional and Infrastructural Resources in the global Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS), which is an integrated compendium of twenty one Encyclopedias. The main role of international law is to promote global peace and prosperity. Ideally, international law and its accompanying institutions act as a balm to smoothen and rationalize opposing interests that nations may have. This theme on International Law and Institutions addresses International Legal and Economic Issues: Globalization and the Struggle for Local Control and International Environmental Law, which are then expanded into multiple subtopics, each as a chapter. This volume is aimed at the following five major target audiences: University and College students Educators, Professional practitioners, Research personnel and Policy analysts, managers, and decision makers and NGOs.

the law of war principle of proportionality: **Proportionality in International Law** Michael Newton, Larry May, 2014-03-10 Proportionality is intimately linked to the overarching concepts of self-defense, lawful force, and the controlled application of violence. It is one of the most visible facets of humanitarian law designed to reduce unnecessary human suffering and avoid excessive damage to property, and the natural environment. However, its application has come under renewed scrutiny and sustained controversy as a result of wars against non-state actors and from the extensive use of drones, human shields, cyber war techniques, and counterinsurgency tactics. Proportionality in International Law critically assesses the law of proportionality in normative terms combining abstract philosophical and legal analysis with highly emotive contemporary combat cases. The principle of proportionality permits actions that are logically linked to the intended goal, and thus defines the permissible boundaries for the initiation and conduct of modern wars. The case studies discussed in this book are predominantly from the perspective of those who make decisions in the midst of armed conflict, bringing analytic rigor to the debates as well as sensitivity to facts on

the ground. The authors analyze modern usages of proportionality across a wide range of contexts enabling a more complete comprehension of the values that it preserves. This book contrasts the applications of proportionality in both *jus ad bellum* (the law and morality of resort to force) and within *jus in bello* (the doctrines applicable for using force in the midst of conflicts). Proportionality in International Law provides the reader with a unique interdisciplinary approach, offering practitioners and policymakers alike greater clarity over how proportionality should be understood in theory and in practice.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *Operations (ADP 3-0)* Headquarters Department of the Army, 2019-09-27 ADP 3-0, Operations, constitutes the Army's view of how to conduct prompt and sustained operations across multiple domains, and it sets the foundation for developing other principles, tactics, techniques, and procedures detailed in subordinate doctrine publications. It articulates the Army's operational doctrine for unified land operations. ADP 3-0 accounts for the uncertainty of operations and recognizes that a military operation is a human undertaking. Additionally, this publication is the foundation for training and Army education system curricula related to unified land operations. The principal audience for ADP 3-0 is all members of the profession of arms. Commanders and staffs of Army headquarters serving as joint task force (JTF) or multinational headquarters should also refer to applicable joint or multinational doctrine concerning the range of military operations and joint or multinational forces. Trainers and educators throughout the Army will use this publication as well.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *Empirical Assessment in IHL Education and Training* Jody M. Prescott, 2021-08-02 Beginning with People on War, the ICRC's ground-breaking global survey in 1999 of the international public's perceptions and attitudes towards IHL, the book takes a historical approach in examining case studies of the use of empirical assessment in IHL training over the last twenty years. The case studies include the evolution of the ICRC's approach to IHL training, the views on IHL of newly promoted U.S. Army and Marine Corps majors in the aftermath of 9/11, mental health surveys of U.S. troops deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq that asked searching questions regarding IHL compliance, the remarkably successful battlefield ethics training program that was developed in Iraq to reverse those surveys' results, and work done with Swiss Military Academy officers, new Malian soldiers, a U.S. Army battalion in Germany, and university students in Ireland and Japan using war video games as an IHL instructional tool. The use of empirical assessment is occurring in the context of evolution in the approach to IHL training, one that increasingly recognizes the vital role played by military leaders in developing a values-oriented culture of compliance with the soldiers in their units.

the law of war principle of proportionality: Military Legitimacy Rudolph C. Barnes Jr, 2013-04-03 Focusing on the challenges faced by the US military in responding to operations other than war in the post-Cold War era, Rudolph Barnes makes a plea for the US government to address the organizational bias for combat and narrow traditionalist view of military professionalism within the Pentagon, which, he argues, are serious obstacles to developing an effective capability for operations other than war. He draws on examples from Vietnam to the mismanagement of US military involvement in Somalia.

the law of war principle of proportionality: Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law - 2010 M.N. Schmitt, Louise Arimatsu, Tim McCormack, 2011-08-05 The world's only annual publication devoted to the study of the laws of armed conflict, the Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law provides a truly international forum for high-quality, peer-reviewed academic articles focusing on this highly topical branch of international law. Ease of use of the Yearbook is guaranteed by the inclusion of a detailed index. Distinguished by its topicality and contemporary relevance, the Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law bridges the gap between theory and practice and serves as a useful reference tool for scholars, practitioners, military personnel, civil servants, diplomats, human rights workers and students.

the law of war principle of proportionality: The War on Terror James P. Terry, 2013-05-30 A former Marine judge advocate and legal counsel to General Colin Powell, James Terry explores the

genesis of the United States approach to terror violence and the legal foundation for the nation's response to the conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq. Terry first reviews the entire spectrum of legal issues that arise before offering creative and practical legal and political solutions to counter terrorist activities. The author examines the development of rules of engagement and their application in the terrorist environment while differentiating the law of self-defense in this environment from more traditional conflicts. He also addresses the role of interrogation, and the line between harsh interrogation and torture, and the jurisdictional claims that arise. This volume examines a large number of topics related to the struggle and in a remarkably concise exploration, makes them understandable to experts in international law as well as those who do not have a strong background in the field. This text provides a serious but concise review of the legal issues in 20 interrelated chapters. All constitutional law scholars and political scientists will greatly benefit from reading this book. No other text offers such a comprehensive or detailed review of the issues arising from the War on Terror.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *Speaking the Law* Kenneth Anderson, Benjamin Wittes, 2015-05-01 When Barack Obama came into office, the strategic landscape facing the United States in its overseas counterterrorism operations was undergoing a shift. Even before the rise of drones necessitated the articulation of legal doctrine, the Obama administration had to explain itself. In *Speaking the Law*, the authors offer a detailed examination of the speeches of the Obama administration on national security legal issues. Viewed together here for the first time, the authors lay out a broad array of legal and policy positions regarding a large number of principles currently contested at both the domestic and international levels. The book describes what the Obama administration has said about the legal framework in which it is operating with respect to such questions as the nature of the war on terrorism, the use of drones and targeted killings, detention, trial by military commission and in federal courts, and interrogation. The authors analyze this framework, examining the stresses on it and asking where the administration got matters right and where they were wrong. They conclude with suggestions for certain reforms to the framework for the administration and Congress to consider.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *Artificial Intelligence, Ethics and the Future of Warfare* Kaushik Roy, 2024-05-23 This volume examines how the adoption of AI technologies is likely to impact strategic and operational planning, and the possible future tactical scenarios for conventional, unconventional, cyber, space and nuclear force structures. In addition to developments in the USA, Britain, Russia and China, the volume also explores how different Asian and European countries are actively integrating AI into their military readiness. It studies the effect of AI and related technologies in training regimens and command structures. The book also covers the ethical and legal aspects of AI augmented warfare. The volume will be of great interest to scholars, students and researchers of military and strategic studies, defence studies, artificial intelligence and ethics.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *2006 Operational Law Handbook*,
the law of war principle of proportionality: *Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law Volume 17, 2014* T.D. Gill, Robin Geiß, Heike Krieger, Tim McCormack, Christophe Paulussen, Jessica Dorsey, 2015-12-22 This volume commemorates the centenary of the First World War (1914-2014) and aims to capture 100 years of warfare evolution. Among the main issues addressed are the changing nature of means and methods of warfare, the law of weaponry, and challenges to humanitarian assistance and protection of the civilian population affected by armed conflict. Specific topics include the legal regime governing nuclear weapons, the prohibition of chemical weapons and arms control, the evolution of naval warfare, asymmetric conflicts, the law of occupation and cultural property. A comprehensive Year in Review also describes the most important events and legal developments that took place in 2014. The *Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law* is the world's only annual publication devoted to the study of the laws governing armed conflict. It provides a truly international forum for high-quality, peer-reviewed academic articles focusing on this crucial branch of international law. Distinguished by contemporary relevance, the *Yearbook of*

International Humanitarian Law bridges the gap between theory and practice and serves as a useful reference tool for scholars, practitioners, military personnel, civil servants, diplomats, human rights workers and students.

the law of war principle of proportionality: *Moral Issues in Military Decision Making* Anthony E. Hartle, 2004 Much has changed in warfare in recent years, with America now dominant on the international scene and terrorism the new enemy. In light of these changes, the need for moral grounding in military actions is a more pressing concern than ever. When it was originally published, *Moral Issues in Military Decision Making* reflected the concerns posed by nuclear stalemate and the lessons of Vietnam. In that highly-praised work, Anthony Hartle outlined the essential elements of the Professional Military Ethic created for American military forces. In this new edition, he reexamines the moral foundations for America's military leadership in the post-9/11 era. Considering world affairs since the first edition - the Gulf War, Bosnia, Afghanistan, Iraq, 9/11, and the emergence of the United States as an unrivaled military power - Hartle explains how these events have raised ethical issues that differ dramatically from those of the Cold War. by the war on terrorism, homeland defense, asymmetric warfare, the proliferation of American military interventions, and the UN's role in peacekeeping operations. Using meticulously analyzed case studies - twice as many as in the first edition - he considers such moral dilemmas as torture, challenging superior officers, use of overwhelming force, and responding to fire in the presence of civilian shields. In this revision, Hartle examines further the status of professional military ethics in light of current affairs, changes in the articulation of military values, and recent research. In a new chapter on human rights, he relates moral principles directly to values embedded in the Constitution and argues that overwhelming American military power cannot succeed unless it is accompanied by the moral force of the values it seeks to protect. difficulties of applying conventional laws of war and human rights doctrine in military operations. Hartle convincingly shows that national security is as much about the preservation of moral principles as it is about the protection of America's citizens and borders. His book demonstrates that the American military must continue to observe those principles in order to be effective in its primary mission.

the law of war principle of proportionality: Drones and US Grand Strategy in the Contemporary World Francis N. Okpaleke, 2023-12-23 This book makes a compelling case that lethal drone deployment as a counterterrorism tool and instrument of statecraft in targeted states engenders far-reaching consequences for US grand strategy. By examining how successive US administrations since 9/11 have deployed drones in pursuant of different typologies of US grand strategic objectives, the book probes the putative political and strategic goals drones supposedly advance, and the impact of its continued proliferation for US for international security. The book provides a powerful base of evidence for policy makers and researchers by pointing to the perils of deployment of drone technology beyond their immediate or short-term objectives. It also explores how non-state actors and authoritarian regimes such as armed groups are harnessing armed drone technologies for their own political and military ends, as well as the underlying implications for US grand strategy and international security at large.

Related to the law of war principle of proportionality

한국·미국 > 미국 <2000.7.4> | 대한민국헌법 [쪽 1] [쪽 2025. 7. 31.] [대한민국헌법 1511쪽, 2025. 7. 31., 대한민국] [쪽 1] [쪽 2025. 6. 2.] [대한민국헌법 1494쪽, 2025. 6

대한민국헌법 대한민국헌법 대한민국헌법 대한민국헌법 대한민국헌법 대한민국헌법

한국·미국 > 대한민국헌법 | 대한민국헌법 [쪽 62] [쪽 2025. 9. 19.] [대한민국헌법 452쪽, 2025. 9. 19., 대한민국] [쪽 62] [쪽 2025. 6. 1.] [대한민국헌법 443쪽, 2025. 5

KOREAN LAW INFORMATION CENTER You can search the law, click 'Law Title' or 'Law Context', and then enter 'search keyword'

KOREAN LAW INFORMATION CENTER | REPOSITORY Ministry of Government Legislation (MOLEG) has run a web site, Korean Law Information Center, which is offer a search service for law information includes laws, treaties, administrative rules,

1. “ ” (

[Back to Home](#)