

pico question examples speech language pathology

PICO Question Examples Speech Language Pathology: Crafting Effective Clinical Queries

pico question examples speech language pathology serve as an essential foundation for clinicians, researchers, and students aiming to enhance evidence-based practice in this dynamic field. Whether you are addressing speech sound disorders, language delays, or swallowing difficulties, formulating a well-structured PICO question can guide your research and clinical decision-making effectively. But what exactly are PICO questions, and how can they be tailored specifically for speech language pathology? Let's explore this concept in depth, offering practical examples and insights to help you harness the power of PICO in your professional journey.

Understanding PICO in Speech Language Pathology

PICO is an acronym that stands for Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome. It is a widely-used framework in evidence-based practice designed to structure clinical questions in a way that facilitates efficient literature searches and clear research objectives.

In speech language pathology, PICO helps professionals pinpoint specific elements of a clinical issue:

- **Population (P):** The group or patient population, such as children with articulation disorders or adults with aphasia.
- **Intervention (I):** The treatment or diagnostic method under consideration, like language therapy or alternative communication devices.
- **Comparison (C):** The alternative to the intervention, which might be another therapy, placebo, or no treatment.
- **Outcome (O):** The desired or measurable effect, such as improved speech intelligibility, increased vocabulary, or enhanced swallowing function.

Using this framework ensures that questions are clear, focused, and answerable, which in turn improves the quality of evidence gathered.

Why Are PICO Questions Important in Speech Language Pathology?

Speech language pathology covers a broad spectrum of disorders and treatment approaches. Without a structured question, clinicians might struggle to identify relevant research or could end up overwhelmed by irrelevant information. PICO questions help

narrow down the scope, making clinical inquiries more manageable.

Moreover, PICO questions foster a systematic approach to problem-solving. This is particularly crucial when dealing with complex cases where multiple interventions exist or when emerging treatments need evaluation. Additionally, PICO-driven research promotes consistency in clinical documentation and supports effective communication among multidisciplinary teams.

Enhancing Evidence-Based Practice

One of the core goals of speech language pathology is to provide interventions backed by solid evidence. PICO questions enable practitioners to critically appraise existing literature and integrate the best available research with clinical expertise and patient values.

They also facilitate the development of clinical guidelines and protocols, improving patient outcomes and optimizing resource use. For students and new clinicians, mastering PICO helps bridge the gap between theory and practice.

Crafting Effective PICO Question Examples in Speech Language Pathology

Developing a PICO question involves identifying each element thoughtfully. Here are some practical examples tailored to common clinical scenarios in speech language pathology.

Example 1: Childhood Language Delay

- **Population:** Preschool children with expressive language delay
- **Intervention:** Parent-implemented language intervention program
- **Comparison:** Standard speech therapy delivered by clinicians
- **Outcome:** Improvement in expressive vocabulary within six months

PICO Question:

In preschool children with expressive language delay, does a parent-implemented language intervention program improve expressive vocabulary more effectively than standard clinician-delivered speech therapy within six months?

This question helps clinicians explore whether involving parents directly in therapy could yield better language outcomes compared to traditional methods.

Example 2: Aphasia Treatment in Adults

- **Population:** Adults with post-stroke aphasia
- **Intervention:** Constraint-Induced Aphasia Therapy (CIAT)
- **Comparison:** Conventional speech-language therapy
- **Outcome:** Improvement in verbal communication and naming ability

PICO Question:

For adults with post-stroke aphasia, does Constraint-Induced Aphasia Therapy lead to greater improvements in verbal communication and naming ability compared to conventional speech-language therapy?

This question focuses on comparing innovative therapy approaches to standard care, which is a common area of interest in rehabilitation research.

Example 3: Swallowing Disorders in Parkinson's Disease

- **Population:** Patients with Parkinson's disease experiencing dysphagia
- **Intervention:** Surface electrical stimulation therapy
- **Comparison:** Traditional swallowing exercises
- **Outcome:** Reduction in aspiration risk and improved swallowing efficiency

PICO Question:

In patients with Parkinson's disease and dysphagia, does surface electrical stimulation therapy reduce aspiration risk and improve swallowing efficiency more than traditional swallowing exercises?

This example highlights the importance of evaluating new treatment modalities for complex neurogenic swallowing disorders.

Tips for Developing Strong PICO Questions in Speech Language Pathology

Creating effective PICO questions requires clarity and precision. Here are some helpful strategies to refine your clinical inquiries:

Focus on Specific Populations

Speech language pathology encompasses a wide range of populations, from infants to elderly adults, each with unique characteristics. Narrowing your population by age, diagnosis, severity, or setting will make your question more relevant and researchable.

Be Clear About the Intervention

Specify the type, duration, and delivery method of the intervention. For example, instead of just “speech therapy,” consider “intensive phonological awareness training delivered twice weekly for eight weeks.” This clarity helps in identifying applicable studies.

Choose Meaningful Comparisons

Comparisons should reflect realistic alternatives or standard practices. Sometimes, the comparison might be “no treatment” or placebo, but often it’s another active intervention. Clear comparisons improve the applicability of findings.

Define Measurable Outcomes

Outcomes should be clinically relevant and measurable within a reasonable timeframe. Examples include improvement in speech intelligibility percentage, increased mean length of utterance, or patient-reported satisfaction scores.

Integrating PICO Questions Into Clinical Practice and Research

Once you've mastered how to formulate PICO questions, the next step is integrating them into everyday clinical routines or research projects.

Using PICO for Literature Searches

Databases like PubMed, CINAHL, and Cochrane Library respond well to structured search strategies based on PICO components. By using keywords derived from each part of your question, you can pinpoint the most relevant and high-quality research articles efficiently.

Guiding Clinical Decision-Making

PICO questions can serve as a foundation for case discussions, treatment planning, and outcome evaluations. They promote a structured approach to analyzing clinical problems and help justify clinical choices with evidence.

Educational Applications

For students and educators in speech language pathology, practicing PICO question formulation is a valuable exercise in critical thinking and research literacy. It trains future clinicians to approach patient care thoughtfully and systematically.

Common Challenges and How to Overcome Them

Despite its benefits, crafting PICO questions can sometimes be challenging. Here are some typical hurdles and ways to address them:

- **Overly Broad Questions:** Start with a broad clinical issue, then narrow down by specifying the population or intervention.
- **Unclear Outcomes:** Collaborate with colleagues or review clinical guidelines to identify meaningful outcomes.
- **Lack of Suitable Comparisons:** If no direct comparison exists, consider using “usual care” or “no intervention” as a baseline.
- **Difficulty in Literature Search:** Break the question into components and search each separately before combining results.

Mastering these aspects ensures your PICO questions are not only theoretically sound but also practically useful.

Embracing the PICO framework in speech language pathology opens doors to more focused inquiry and evidence-based care. By practicing with specific examples and honing your question-formulation skills, you empower yourself to make informed clinical decisions and contribute meaningfully to the field’s growing body of knowledge.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is a PICO question in speech language pathology?

A PICO question in speech language pathology is a structured clinical question that helps guide research and evidence-based practice. PICO stands for Patient/Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome.

Can you provide an example of a PICO question related to speech therapy for children with articulation disorders?

Example: In children with articulation disorders (P), does the use of phonetic placement therapy (I) compared to traditional drill therapy (C) improve speech intelligibility (O)?

How are PICO questions useful for speech language

pathologists?

PICO questions help speech language pathologists focus their clinical inquiries, guide literature searches, and make evidence-based decisions about interventions and treatments.

What elements should be included when formulating a PICO question in speech language pathology?

A PICO question should include: the Patient or Population (e.g., children with stuttering), the Intervention (e.g., fluency shaping therapy), a Comparison intervention or control (e.g., no therapy or alternative therapy), and the desired Outcome (e.g., reduction in stuttering frequency).

Give an example of a PICO question for adult aphasia treatment.

In adults with post-stroke aphasia (P), does constraint-induced language therapy (I) compared to traditional speech therapy (C) improve language function and communication ability (O)?

How can PICO questions improve research in speech language pathology?

PICO questions provide clarity and focus, making it easier to conduct systematic literature reviews, identify gaps in research, and develop targeted studies that address specific clinical issues.

Are PICO questions applicable to all areas of speech language pathology?

Yes, PICO questions can be adapted for various areas within speech language pathology, including articulation, fluency, language development, voice disorders, and dysphagia, to support evidence-based practice.

What resources can help speech language pathologists create effective PICO questions?

Resources such as clinical guidelines, academic textbooks, evidence-based practice manuals, and online tools like the PICO question builder or databases like PubMed can assist speech language pathologists in developing effective PICO questions.

Additional Resources

PICO Question Examples Speech Language Pathology: Crafting Precise Clinical Inquiries for Evidence-Based Practice

pico question examples speech language pathology serve as a foundational tool for clinicians aiming to integrate evidence-based practices into their assessment and intervention strategies. The PICO framework—standing for Patient/Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome—helps speech-language pathologists (SLPs) formulate clear, focused clinical questions that guide research, improve clinical decision-making, and optimize patient outcomes. This article explores the utility of PICO in speech-language pathology, presents illustrative examples, and discusses how precise question formulation advances evidence-based practice within the field.

Understanding PICO and Its Relevance to Speech-Language Pathology

The PICO model originates from the broader healthcare sector but has been increasingly adopted by allied health professionals, including SLPs. Its primary function is to ensure that clinical questions are specific and answerable, which facilitates efficient literature searching and critical appraisal of evidence. Speech-language pathology encompasses a broad spectrum of disorders—ranging from articulation and phonological impairments to aphasia, dysphagia, and voice disorders—which necessitates targeted inquiry for effective intervention.

In speech-language pathology, PICO questions help clinicians discern the effectiveness of therapy approaches, evaluate diagnostic tools, and compare outcomes across different patient populations. For example, an SLP may want to investigate whether a particular therapy technique yields better results than an alternative in children with speech sound disorders. Using the PICO framework clarifies these aspects by isolating patient characteristics, interventions, and measurable outcomes.

Breaking Down the PICO Elements in Speech-Language Pathology

- **Patient/Population (P):** Identifies the specific group or individual characteristics, such as age, diagnosis, or setting (e.g., adults with post-stroke aphasia, preschoolers with phonological delays).
- **Intervention (I):** The therapy, assessment, or treatment method under consideration (e.g., melodic intonation therapy, telepractice delivery, or cognitive-communication therapy).
- **Comparison (C):** The alternative intervention, placebo, or control group against which the primary intervention is measured (e.g., traditional speech therapy, no treatment, or another evidence-based approach).
- **Outcome (O):** The measurable result or effect sought (e.g., improved speech intelligibility, enhanced swallowing safety, or increased functional communication).

By structuring questions with these components, SLPs can systematically search databases such as PubMed, ASHAWire, or Cochrane Library, thereby streamlining the evidence retrieval process.

Practical PICO Question Examples in Speech-Language Pathology

To illustrate the practical application of PICO in speech-language pathology, consider the following examples tailored to common clinical scenarios:

Example 1: Aphasia Rehabilitation

- **Patient:** Adults with post-stroke aphasia
- **Intervention:** Constraint-Induced Language Therapy (CILT)
- **Comparison:** Conventional speech-language therapy
- **Outcome:** Improvement in verbal communication measured by standardized aphasia assessment tools

PICO Question: In adults with post-stroke aphasia, does constraint-induced language therapy improve verbal communication more effectively than conventional speech-language therapy?

Example 2: Pediatric Speech Sound Disorders

- **Patient:** Preschool children with phonological delay
- **Intervention:** Cycles Approach therapy
- **Comparison:** Traditional articulation therapy
- **Outcome:** Increased accuracy of target sound production in spontaneous speech

PICO Question: For preschool children with phonological delays, does the Cycles Approach therapy result in greater improvement in target sound production compared to traditional articulation therapy?

Example 3: Dysphagia Management in Elderly Patients

- **Patient:** Elderly patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia
- **Intervention:** Electrical stimulation therapy
- **Comparison:** Conventional swallowing therapy
- **Outcome:** Enhanced swallowing safety and reduced aspiration risk

PICO Question: Among elderly patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia, does electrical stimulation therapy improve swallowing safety more than conventional swallowing therapy?

Example 4: Voice Therapy for Professional Voice Users

- **Patient:** Professional voice users with vocal nodules
- **Intervention:** Resonant voice therapy
- **Comparison:** No treatment or voice rest
- **Outcome:** Reduction in vocal fold lesion size and improved vocal quality

PICO Question: In professional voice users with vocal nodules, is resonant voice therapy more effective than voice rest in reducing lesion size and improving vocal quality?

Optimizing PICO Questions for Speech-Language Pathology Research and Practice

The process of formulating PICO questions in speech-language pathology is not merely an academic exercise; it directly influences the quality of research synthesis and clinical application. A well-constructed PICO question narrows the focus, making literature searches more efficient by eliminating irrelevant studies and allowing for targeted evidence appraisal.

However, speech-language pathology presents unique challenges when applying PICO. Many interventions are complex and multifaceted, often combining behavioral, cognitive, and environmental factors. Additionally, patient populations can be heterogeneous, and outcomes may include subjective measures like quality of life or caregiver stress, complicating quantification.

To address these nuances, SLPs should:

- Ensure the patient/population description is precise, including age, diagnosis severity, and context.
- Define interventions clearly, specifying duration, intensity, and delivery mode (e.g., face-to-face vs. telepractice).
- Consider appropriate comparison groups or baseline conditions, even when placebo or no-treatment controls are not feasible.
- Select outcomes that are clinically meaningful, measurable, and relevant to patient goals.

In some cases, the PICO framework is expanded to PICOT (including Time) or PICOS (including Study design), which can further refine questions for research purposes.

Integrating PICO Questions into Clinical Decision-Making

The ultimate goal of PICO question formulation in speech-language pathology is to inform clinical decision-making, guiding interventions that are backed by robust scientific evidence. For example, when an SLP encounters a child with a speech sound disorder, a well-crafted PICO question can direct the clinician to the most effective therapy approach supported by current research.

Moreover, the use of PICO questions enhances interdisciplinary collaboration. By articulating clear clinical inquiries, SLPs can communicate evidence needs to researchers, educators, and other healthcare providers more effectively. This promotes a culture of inquiry and continuous improvement in patient care.

Challenges and Considerations in Applying PICO Questions in Speech-Language Pathology

Despite the clear advantages, implementing PICO questions within speech-language pathology research and practice is not without challenges. One limitation is the variability in outcome measures across studies, which can hinder direct comparison and meta-analysis. For instance, improvements in aphasia might be assessed using different scales or patient-reported outcomes, complicating evidence synthesis.

Additionally, many speech-language pathology interventions involve individualized treatment plans tailored to patient needs, making standardized comparisons difficult. Ethical considerations also restrict randomized controlled trials in some clinical situations, limiting the availability of high-level evidence.

SLPs must therefore balance the rigor of PICO question formulation with the realities of clinical complexity, incorporating evidence from diverse study designs including case studies, cohort studies, and qualitative research when appropriate.

Future Directions for PICO Use in Speech-Language Pathology

As technology advances, integrating PICO frameworks with digital tools can further enhance evidence-based practice in speech-language pathology. For example, artificial intelligence-driven literature search engines can use PICO parameters to rapidly identify relevant studies. Telepractice and mobile health interventions also expand the scope of clinical questions, necessitating more nuanced PICO formulations.

Furthermore, ongoing professional development in evidence-based methodologies will empower SLPs to refine their clinical inquiries, foster research literacy, and ultimately improve patient-centered care.

By consistently applying and adapting PICO question examples in speech-language pathology, clinicians and researchers can contribute to a more scientifically grounded, effective, and responsive practice environment.

Pico Question Examples Speech Language Pathology

pico question examples speech language pathology: Professional Issues in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, Sixth Edition Melanie W. Hudson, Mark DeRuiter, 2023-10-06 This sixth edition of Professional Issues in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology is intended to be a primary text for students in speech-language pathology and audiology, as well as a resource for practitioners, providing a comprehensive introduction to contemporary issues that affect these professions and service delivery across settings. It aims to provide a better understanding that day-to-day clinical work, as well as personal professional growth and development are influenced by political, social, educational, health care, and economic concerns. By instilling a big-picture view of the profession, future clinicians will be more prepared to make informed decisions as they provide services, engage in advocacy efforts, and plan their careers as audiologists or speech-language pathologists. The book is divided into four major sections: Overview of the Professions, Employment Issues, Setting-Specific Issues, and Working Productively. The information presented in each section provides the reader with a better understanding and a new perspective on how professional issues have been affected by both internal and external influences in recent years including technological advances, demographic shifts, globalization, and economic factors. Chapter authors are recognized subject-matter experts, providing a blend of both foundational and cutting-edge information in areas such as evidence-based practice, ethics, job searching and employment issues, interprofessional practice, service delivery in health care and education, technology, cultural competence, supervision, and leadership. Students reading this book will appreciate how the professions have evolved over time while acquiring a sense of where they are right now as they prepare to enter the professional world. Each of the topics covered in the book will continue to play important roles in the future of speech-language pathology and audiology, providing early career professionals with the requisite knowledge to achieve success in any setting. New to the Sixth Edition: * New information on issues related to the COVID-19 pandemic * Coverage of recent changes in technology * Updates to ASHA certification requirements, the Assistants certification program, and the 2023 ASHA Code of Ethics * New contributors: Nicole E. Corbin, Sandra Liang Gillam, Erin E.G. Lundblom, Christine T. Matthews, Shari Robertson, Rachel A. Ritter, and Jennifer P. Taylor * Updated list of acronyms used in the book Key Features: * Chapters authored by recognized experts in communication sciences and disorders * Each chapter begins with an introduction and ends with a summary of key areas * Critical Thinking questions for each chapter accessible online * Case studies related to child and elder abuse * Case studies related to advocacy Please note that ancillary content (such as documents, audio, and video, etc.) may not be included as published in the original print version of this book.

pico question examples speech language pathology: Clinical Research Methods in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, Third Edition David L. Irwin, Norman J. Lass, Mary Pannbacker, Mary Ellen Tekieli Koay, Jennifer S. Whited, 2019-01-17 Now in its third edition, Clinical Research Methods in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology is a valuable and comprehensive resource for understanding and conducting clinical research in communication sciences and disorders. Graduate students and practicing clinicians will benefit from the text's detailed coverage of various research topics. Specifically, readers will learn the strengths and weaknesses of different research methodologies, apply the results of research to clinical practice and decision-making, and understand the importance of research ethics. Clinical Research Methods

is the only text to take into account qualitative research and evidence-based practice, and to provide a detailed discussion of research ethics. Key Features Chapters begin with an outline of covered topics and learning objectives End-of-chapter discussion questions apply concepts and incorporate real-life research situations Numerous tables and charts display critical models and research procedures New to the Third Edition New co-authors, Mary Ellen Koay, PhD, CCC-SLP, FASHA, and Jennifer S. Whited, PhD, CCC-SLP, bring new and extensive research experiences to the team of authors Expanded discussion of qualitative research methods Additional and updated examples of mixed method designs published in speech-language pathology Updated list of databases and sources for research in communication sciences and disorders Updated references throughout, including many ASHA and AAA Codes of Ethics Disclaimer: Please note that ancillary content (such as documents, audio, and video, etc.) may not be included as published in the original print version of this book.

pico question examples speech language pathology: Embedding Evidence-Based Practice in Speech and Language Therapy Hazel Roddam, Jemma Skeat, 2010-04-05 Like all health professionals, speech and language therapists (SLTs) need to keep themselves up-to-date with the research evidence base that is relevant to their field of practice and be able to show how this contributes to their clinical decision-making. However, it is not always clear to practitioners how evidence-based practice (EBP) can be properly embedded in their day-to-day activities. In this valuable book, Hazel Roddam and Jemma Skeat present a wealth of instructive examples by SLT contributors from around the world, showing how clinicians, educators, and researchers have risen to the EBP challenge. Embedding evidence-based practice in speech and language therapy showcases the creative ways that SLTs are developing knowledge and skills for EBP, creating contexts that support the use of evidence in practice, and working towards making evidence easily accessible and usable. It includes real-life examples of how SLTs have encountered a clinical problem or situation and have accessed and used the evidence within their day-to-day practice. The contributors come from a wide range of work settings, from services situated within large organizations to those in independent practice, and represent a range of clinical areas, from paediatric to adult and across speech, language, voice, fluency, Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC), and dysphagia. This book is written for an audience of clinical practitioners, at any stage of their career, and is additionally a valuable resource for SLT students and lecturers.

pico question examples speech language pathology: Clinical Research Methods in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, Fourth Edition David L. Irwin, Jeremy J. Donai, 2024-06-26 With a new chapter on evaluating research articles, the fourth edition of Clinical Research Methods in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology continues to be an essential resource for graduate students and clinicians seeking to understand the principles and methodologies involved with clinical research. As the demand for evidence-based practice continues to rise, understanding how to conduct and evaluate research becomes increasingly important in ensuring quality care and professional accountability. This text emphasizes how to effectively apply research to clinical practice and decision-making processes. Readers will also gain knowledge of the significance of research ethics and the ethical considerations involved. With the utilization of discussion materials, this text will facilitate learning and critical thinking among students as they engage with the material. This edition includes information on how to critically review both quantitative and qualitative articles. Current trends and updated examples from speech pathology and audiology literature will assist with real-world research situations. New to the Fourth Edition: * New co-author, Jeremy J. Donai, AuD, PhD, providing a fresh perspective * New chapter on critically evaluating quantitative and qualitative research articles * Examples regarding integration of citations into a literature review Key Features: * Chapters begin with an outline of covered topics and learning objectives * End-of-chapter discussion questions aid students in applying concepts * A comprehensive glossary allows students to easily find and define important terms * Numerous references throughout, including many ASHA and AAA Codes of Ethics

pico question examples speech language pathology: Evidence-Based Education in the

Classroom Jennifer Friberg, Colleen Visconti, Sarah Ginsberg, 2024-06-01 Evidence-Based Education in the Classroom: Examples From Clinical Disciplines shows educators how to use evidence to inform teaching practices and improve educational outcomes for students in clinically based fields of study. Editors and speech-language pathologists Drs. Jennifer C. Friberg, Colleen F. Visconti, and Sarah M. Ginsberg collaborated with a team of more than 65 expert contributors to share examples of how they have used evidence to inform their course design and delivery. Each chapter is set up as a case study that includes: A description of the teaching/learning context focused on in the chapter A brief review of original data or extant literature being applied A description of how evidence was applied in the teaching/learning context Additional ideas for how evidence could be applied in other teaching/learning contexts across clinical disciplines Additional resources related to the pedagogy described in the case study (e.g., journal articles, books, blogs, websites) Educators in the fields of speech-language pathology, audiology, nursing, social work, sports medicine, medicine, dietetics, dental assisting, physician assisting, radiology technology, psychology, and kinesiology—already familiar with evidence-based practice—will find this resource helpful in implementing evidence-informed approaches to their teaching. While the content in clinical programs is quite different, there are many similarities in how to teach students across such programs. Evidence-Based Education in the Classroom: Examples From Clinical Disciplines highlights these similarities and represents a masterclass in how to practice evidence-based education.

pico question examples speech language pathology: Language Disorders in Bilingual Children and Adults, Third Edition Kathryn Kohnert, Kerry Danahy Ebert, Giang Thuy Pham, 2020-08-26 Language Disorders in Bilingual Children and Adults, Third Edition, provides speech-language pathologists, advanced students in communication disorders programs, and clinical language researchers with information needed to formulate and respond to questions related to effective service delivery to bilingual children and adults with suspected or confirmed language disorders. The bilinguals of interest represent varying levels of first and second language proficiency across the lifespan. That is, bilingualism is not determined here by proficiency in each language, but rather by the individual's experience or need for two languages. In separate chapters, the book synthesizes the literature on bilingual children and adults with typical and atypical language skills. These chapters give the reader a deep understanding of the multiple factors that affect language development and disorders in those who rely on two languages for meaningful interactions. Chapters on assessment and intervention issues and methods are then presented for each population. For children, the text focuses on developmental language disorder but also discusses secondary language disorders (such as autism spectrum disorder) in bilingual populations. For adults, the focus is on aphasia, with additional discussion of dementia, traumatic brain injury, and right hemisphere disorder. Although child and adult, typical and atypical populations are presented separately, all are considered within a unifying Dynamic Interactive Processing perspective and within a new Means-Opportunities-Motives framework for understanding language disorders in bilinguals. This broad theoretical framework emphasizes interactions between social, cognitive, and communicative systems to form the basis for very practical implications related to assessment and intervention. This third edition has been completely updated to reflect the current research on bilingual populations and the best practices for working with them. Studies at the intersection of bilingualism and language disorders have expanded to include additional disorders and new language combinations. The authors synthesize the current literature and translate it for clinical use. New to the Third Edition • Coauthors Kerry Danahy Ebert, PhD, CCC-SLP and Giang Thuy Pham, PhD, CCC-SLP • Updated literature review and references to reflect new research on bilingualism, cultural competence, cognitive advantages and clinical practice with linguistically diverse populations • Case studies on assessment with bilingual children and adults • Additional tables and figures summarizing key information • Available evidence on additional child and adult language disorders in bilinguals • Updated extension activities and resource supplement

pico question examples speech language pathology: Clinician's Guide to Applying, Conducting, and Disseminating Clinical Education Research Mark DeRuiter, Sarah Ginsberg,

Related to pico question examples speech language pathology

Related Articles

- [Back to Home](#)